Note:Not to be cited or quoted from without the prior permission of the author. The evaluation of the VITAL programme is incomplete and the statements below are Subject to further amendments in the light of additional data.

Can Learning Studies help sustain system wide educational innovation?

Indicators from the VITAL project.

John Elliott, University of East
Anglia, UK

Principals initiate in response to teacher Feedback

- Principals often initiated communication with the EMB inspectors when assured of a measure of staff support e.g after some teachers had expressed an interest in the school becoming involved in LS. Decisions by Principals to become involved in Learning Study were not simply autocratic.
- Principals also had there own reasons for involving the school.

Capacity for innovation in the H.K. educational system

 The VITAL evaluation suggests that the capacity for securing non-coerced system wide educational change maybe greater in HK than in some western countries. Why? Schools as organisations and work-places tend to be shaped by a culture of holism as opposed to individualism.

LS: a methodology for 'injecting' change capabilities.

- Teachers do not in the main view the 'Learning Study' as a permanent feature of SBCD. It is often viewed as a temporary system that is of value as an initial and top-up 'injection of capabilities' for effecting curriculum and pedagogical change into the school as a work-place.
- The capabilities developed through LS are frequently associated with the use of variation theory as a pedagogical tool and sustaining a focus on the objects of learning.

The VITAL Project:Learning Studies as an object of policy

 Who selects the schools? Evidence from the Evaluation of the EMB funded programme of LS in primary and secondary schools suggests that in the main the schools are selfselecting. Principals appear to initiate contact with their district inspector rather than vice versa. There is little evidence of the EMB coercing schools to participate.

Reasons for engaging the school in LS

- The need for curriculum change/improvement in a particular KLA e.g. a number of principals perceived a greater need for change in the area of Maths as opposed to Languages.
- Teachers in such areas were expected to comply, and did so. However, in the main they did so willingly. Although many teachers involved in LS were not volunteers they did not feel coerced by their principals.

Learning studies as a methodology of change

- Teachers generally experience Learning Studies as a rigorous SBCD method - a welldefined package of procedures - that has significant impact on the quality of teaching and learning.
- The method involves collaborative lesson planning meetings, pre and post-lesson testing, peer observations of lessons, postlesson interviews with a group of students,post-lesson conferences, and videorecording of lessons.

Capabilities exercised in the use of variation theory.

V1. Understanding variations in the ways students understand the intended object of learning (V1);

V2.Understanding variations in the way teachers understand and handle the particular object of learning.

V3. Using V1 and V2 to plan learning experiences which make use of patterns of variation that are judged appropriate for enhancing a critical discernment of the object of learning.

Using variation theory as a pedagogical tool.

- Teachers experience lesson planning as a form of co-ordinated action
- Teachers observe and discuss each others' practice
- Teachers elicit students perspectives on lessons.

Such experiences might be organised on a smaller scale in ways that create less conflict between priorities, than is the case with the current procedural package known as LS.

LS enhances the work-place culture's innovation capacity

 Teachers generally feel that they acquired capabilities in the context of a full-scale LS which they could then transfer into their work place practice in more flexible forms. These capabilities are viewed as enhancing their capacity to effect sustainable curriculum and pedagogical change in their classrooms and schools.

Learning Studies in the context of curriculum change

 The key question that needs to be asked of Learning Studies as a procedural package is not whether it can be institutionalised in schools, but whether it has the power as a temporary system to effect sustainable improvements in the capabilities of teachers to effect worthwhile curriculum and pedagogical change.

A final challenge for Learning Studies in Hong Kong

Are there more flexible, and less time-consuming and work intensive ways of effecting sustainable improvements in teachers' capabilities as curriculum change agents in schools? Some have claimed that there are and the evidence for it needs to be examined.

LS and the problem of time

• Teachers tend to experience LS as an 'inflexible' procedural package, fixing priorities in a form that excludes giving attention to other important work-place activities (for purposes of survival) and placing them on the 'back-burner'. e.g. advisory work with pupils, and meetings to co-ordinate action across a number of different fronts. LS tends to be regarded as a very worthwhile one-off experience that cannot be sustained on a continuous basis.

The organisational culture in HK schools and LS.

 The organisational culture of schools in HK is less marked by the values of privacy, territoriality and hierarchy which shape the school as a work place in the west. This may facilitate rather than frustrate the transfer of capabilities developed in the context of Learning Studies and cited in 8 above.

The Evaluation of Vital

- The final phase of the evaluation will be gathering evidence of the extent to which teachers engagement in LS has resulted in sustainable improvements in their capabilities to effect significant curriculum and pedagogical change.
- On the basis of such evidence the evaluation will propose a framework of quality indicators for assessing the sustainable impact of Learning Study on curriculum and pedagogical practice in schools.